Ultranodal pair states in iron-based superconductors

P. Hirschfeld, U. Florida



PJH, Comptes Rendus Physique 17, 197 (2016) C. Setty, S. Bhattacharyya, A. Kreisel and PJH, arXiv 1903.00481



Collaborators



from U. Florida Dept. of Physics:



from rest of world:



Chandan Setty



Shinibali Bhattacharyya



Yifu Cao



Andreas Kreisel U. Leipzig

"The theoretical oriented scientist cannot be envied, because nature, i.e. the experiment, is a relentless and not very friendly judge of his work. In the best case scenario it only says "maybe" to a theory, but never "yes" and in most cases "no". If an experiment agrees with theory it means "perhaps" for the latter. If it does not agree it means "no". Almost any theory will experience a "no" at one point in time - most theories very soon after they have been developed."

Albert Einstein,

Theoretical Remark on the Superconductivity of Metals

Iron-based superconductors

Recent reviews: Paglione & Greene Nat Phys 2010; Johnston Adv. Phys. 2010



Electronic structure calculations

LaFePO Lebegue 2007 (T_c=6K)

LaFAs0 Cao et al2008 (T_c=26K)





Band structures for 2 materials nearly identical! Hole pocket near Γ , electron pocket near M

Kotliar et al, Cao et al: correlations can be important



Multiorbital physics

DOS near Fermi due almost entirely to 5 Fe d-states

Complications: calculations will be harder

Novelty: surprising new aspects of multiorbital/ multiband physics









Fermi surface

Band structure

Nematic behavior

orthorhombic state displays strong anisotropies that cannot be attributed to the 100K lattice distortion only T_s T_{N} 50K Ort. SDW SC doping

~0.3% change in a,b at T_s in Ba-122

Ba122 resistivity



Chu et al, Science (2010) Tanatar et al, PRB (2010)



FeSe vortices near twin bdry Watashige et al, Science (2010)

Superconductivity: e-ph interaction is too weak

Phonon spectrum, density of states



We have calculated *ab initio* the electron-phonon spectral function, $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$, and coupling, λ , for the stoichiometric compound [9]. Some moderate coupling exists, mostly to As modes, but the total λ appears to be ~ 0.2, with $\omega_{log} \sim 250$ K, which can in no way explain $T_c \gtrsim 26$ K.

Mazin et al, PRL 2008, see also Mu et al CPL (2008), Boeri et al. PRL 2008

2 paradigms for superconductivity according to how pairs choose to avoid Coulomb interaction

"conventional" : isotropic s-wave pair wave fctn, interaction retarded in time



Overall effective interaction *attractive*

"unconventional": anisotropic or sign-changing pair wave fctn,



Spin fluctuation theories of pairing

Effective singlet interaction from spin fluctuations (Berk-Schrieffer 1966)

Generate attractive interaction from repulsion at large q on a lattice – e.g., d-wave in cuprates

$$V_s(q,\omega) \cong \frac{3}{2} \frac{\bar{U}^2 \chi_0(q,\omega)}{1 - \bar{U} \chi_0(q,\omega)}$$

$$\chi_0(q,\omega) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{f(\varepsilon_{p+q}) - f(\varepsilon_p)}{\omega - (\varepsilon_{p+q} - \varepsilon_p) + i\delta}$$



s_{\pm} pairing in Fe-pnictides Mazin et al PRL 2008 electron-hole pocket pair scattering dominates



- nesting peaks interaction V(q) at $(\pi, 0)$ in 1-Fe zone
- interaction is ~ constant over small pockets
- therefore sign-changing $s_{+/-}$ state solves gap eqn

Fe-pnictides: evolution of gap with doping from spin fluct. thy

PH, Korshunov and Mazin Rep. Prog. Phys. 2011 PH, Comptes Rendus Physique 2016



SC state: experimental "lack of universality" e.g., penetration depth experiments

Hicks et al 2008 LaFePO $T_c=6K$

Prozorov, 2011 Co-doped Ba122 T_c=25K

Hashimoto et al 2009 K-doped Ba122 $T_c=40K$



$$\Delta \lambda \simeq \int d\omega \left(-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} \right) N(\omega) = \begin{cases} dirty & nodal SC \\ clean & N(\omega) \simeq \omega \end{cases} \text{ so } \Delta \lambda \simeq \begin{cases} T^2 & dirty \\ T & clean \end{cases}$$
$$gapped SC & \text{ so } \Delta \lambda \simeq e^{-\Delta/T} \end{cases}$$

SC gap symmetry and structure

 $\Delta(k)$ = "energy gap" or "order parameter" or "pair wave function"



`1g



What's different between Fe-pnictides and Fe-chalcogenides?

Stronger electronic correlations Basov, Kotliar...

- Stronger spin-orbit coupling Borisenko Nat Phys 2015
- "Intrinsic" electron doping, at least in some systems (not FeSe bulk)



FeSe: low-Tc building block for high-Tc Fe-based SC





 $\begin{array}{c} 100 \\ C \\ 80 \\ \hline \\ 60 \\ \hline \\ Ortho. \\ Tetra. \\ \hline \\ 40 \\ \hline \\ (Nematic) \\ \hline \\ x \\ 20 \\ \hline \\ \\ x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.15 \\ 0.20 \\ \hline \\ Sulfur content x \\ \end{array}$

FeSe: nematic order without LR magnetic order, 8K superconductor



Possible topological ultranodal state in FeSe,S

Abrupt change of the superconducting gap structure at the nematic critical point in FeSe_{1-x}S_x

Yuki Sato^a, Shigeru Kasahara^{a,1}, Tomoya Taniguchi^a, Xiangzhuo Xing^a, Yuichi Kasahara^a, Yoshifumi Tokiwa^b, Youichi Yamakawa^c, Hiroshi Kontani^c, Takasada Shibauchi^d, and Yuji Matsuda^{a,1}



Orthorhombic (Nematic) M_y M_y

PNAS 115, 1227 (2018)





Thermal conductivity Sato et al, PNAS 115, 1227 (2018)



Two distinct superconducting pairing states divided by the nematic end point in $FeSe_{1-x}S_x$

Tetsuo Hanaguri,¹* Katsuya Iwaya,¹ Yuhki Kohsaka,¹ Tadashi Machida,¹ Tatsuya Watashige,^{2†} Shigeru Kasahara,² Takasada Shibauchi,³ Yuji Matsuda²

Science Advances 4, eaar6419 (2018):

SC state with nonzero zero-bias DOS!



NOT due to disorder in nodal SC state Q. Osc.: Coldea et al npj-Quant. Mat. 2019



Conclusion from experiments: gap is more anisotropic outside nematic phase!

What is happening in SC at high S contents?

Ultra-high energy resolution spectroscopy below 90 mK

cf. T. Machida, Y. Kohsaka and T. Hanaguri, RSI 89, 093707 (2018).



Thanks to Tetsuo Hanaguri, RIKEN!

Gap nodal surfaces in unconventional superconductors





Another possibility?

Bogoliubov Fermi Surfaces in Superconductors with Broken Time-Reversal Symmetry

D. F. Agterberg,^{1,*} P. M. R. Brydon,^{2,†} and C. Timm^{3,‡}

¹Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, USA

²Department of Physics, University of Otago, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin 9054, New Zealand

³Institute of Theoretical Physics, Technische Universität Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany



Ingredients:

- even-parity nodal superconducting state
- spontaneous time reversal symmetry breaking (TRSB)
- effective j=3/2 fermions

PRL 118, 127001 (2017)

Other examples of Bogoliubov Fermi surfaces



Ran et al arXiv 1811.1180;

Metz et al 1908.01069

Evidence for possible nonunitary triplet pairing in centrosymmetric system

Examples of BFS

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} = \left(c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^{\dagger}, c_{\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^{\dagger}, c_{-\mathbf{k}\uparrow}, c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \right)$$

1. d-wave SC in Zeeman field

$$\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta(\mathbf{k}) \left(i\pi_y \otimes i\sigma_y \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) (\pi_z \otimes \sigma_0) + h(\pi_z \otimes \sigma_z)$$



π and σ are Pauli matrices in Nambu, spin respectively C,P preserved independently $Pf(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})^2 + \Delta(\mathbf{k})^2$

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Pf}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})^2 + \Delta(\mathbf{k})^2 - h^2 \\ &\operatorname{Min}\{\operatorname{Pf}(\mathbf{k})\} = \Delta(\mathbf{k})^2 - h^2 \implies \pm, Z_2 \text{ inv} \end{split}$$

2. Loop currents coexisting with d-wave order

$$\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta(\mathbf{k}) \left(i\pi_y \otimes i\sigma_y \right) + \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) (\pi_z \otimes \sigma_0) + J(\mathbf{k}) (\pi_z \otimes \sigma_z)$$

 $J(\mathbf{k}) = J(\sin k_x - \sin k_y + \sin(k_y - k_x))$ breaks C,P but preserves CP

$$\mathrm{Min}\{\mathrm{Pf}(\mathbf{k})\} = \Delta(\mathbf{k})^2 - J(\mathbf{k})^2$$



Topological Ultranodal pair states in iron-based superconductors

Chandan Setty¹,^{*} Shinibali Bhattacharyya¹, Yifu Cao, Andreas Kreisel², and P. J. Hirschfeld¹

 $^1 \rm Department$ of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA $^2 \rm Institut$ für Theoretische Physik Universität Leipzig D-04103 Leipzig, Germany





arXiv: 1903.00481, Nat Comm 2020



 $H_{\Delta}(\mathbf{k}) = \Delta_{i}(\mathbf{k})\tau_{0} \otimes i\sigma_{y} + \Delta_{0}i\tau_{y} \otimes \sigma_{0} + \delta i\tau_{y} \otimes \sigma_{z}$ Intraband spin singlet Interband spin triplet Spin triplet SOC

Agterberg et al.

H is CP-symmetric matrix \Rightarrow Det=Pf²

Topological transition to an ultranodal SC state when Pf changes sign!

$$\operatorname{Min}[\operatorname{Pf}] = \begin{cases} \delta^2 \left(|\Delta_1(\mathbf{k})| |\Delta_2(\mathbf{k})| - \Delta_0^2 \right) \text{ if } \Delta_1(\mathbf{k}) \Delta_2(\mathbf{k}) > 0 \\ \Delta_0^2 \left(|\Delta_1(\mathbf{k})| |\Delta_2(\mathbf{k})| - \delta^2 \right) \text{ if } \Delta_1(\mathbf{k}) \Delta_2(\mathbf{k}) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Ansatz for intraband gap evolution in $FeSe_{(1-x)}S_x$



Anisotropic components held fixed, isotropic ones decreasd with x (scenario proposed by Tokyo-Kyoto collaboration) Conventional \rightarrow *ultranodal* Z₂ transition



Comparison with experiment on FeSe_(1-x)S_x Setty et al arXiv: 1903.00481

Sp. heat: theory



experiment (Sato et al 2018)



STM: theory



expt. (Hanaguri et al 2019)



Is there a "smoking gun" for the ultranodal state?

Consequences of time reversal symmetry breaking by "internal magnetic field"



Nonunitary superconducting state $\Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow} \neq \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow}$

Non-unitary pairing

Spin-up superfluid coexisting with spindown Fermi liquid.

$$\hat{\Delta} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\uparrow\uparrow} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} or \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$



C.f.

— The A1 phase of liquid ³He.

- Do we know examples where this happens spontaneously in zero field?
- Do we understand physics that could cause this?

Out of plane field



In-plane field: only h^2 term \Rightarrow only shift of transition

Bogoliubov Fermi surfaces shrink/grow with out-of-plane field

Prediction (after subtraction of Volovik term): C(T \rightarrow 0)/T depends on direction of field



Stability of ultranodal state

$$\mathbf{j}^{P}(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{e}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k} + \frac{\mathbf{q}}{2}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{m_{1}} \\ \frac{1}{m_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \psi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}$$

$$K^{\rm dia} = \frac{4\pi e^2}{c^2} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{n_{\alpha}}{|m_{\alpha}|}$$

$$J_i(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -\frac{c}{4\pi} K_{ij}(\mathbf{q},\omega) A_j(\mathbf{q},\omega),$$
$$K = K^P + K^{\text{dia}},$$

Tendency to negative Meissner effect, as in odd-frequency pairing, but:

- a) Large stable topological region
- b) Stability enhanced by intraband gap anisotropy

Model with isotropic intra, interband gaps



Conclusions

- Novel even parity triplet interband pair states may be realized in presence of spin orbit coupling
- Combination TRSB interband triplet, anisotropic intraband singlet pairing can lead to "ultranodal" state, explain nonzero residual DOS in FeSe_{1-x}S_x. Bogoliubov Fermi surface is topological, robust against perturbations that preserve particle-hole & inversion symmetries
- Microscopic mechanism for nonunitary pairing missing. Needed: theory treating spin-fluctuation pair states & exotic (interband) states on equal footing!
- Einstein: "perhaps"